## St Catherine's Development Community Consultative Committee

Meeting No: 27

Date: Friday October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2021

Venue: Zoom

Attendees			
Community Members	St Catherine's		
Danny Caretti – Charing Cross	Andrew Grech		
Precinct/neighbouring resident	Warwick Smith		
Julie McAlpin – Charing Cross Precinct			
Kate Marshall – resident	Independent Chair & minute taker		
Chelsea Hunnisett – Bronte Beach Precinct	Roberta Ryan		
representative			
Apologies			
None			

1	Summary of concerns	
	<ul> <li>Outlined in the letter from community members of the CCC to the Chair dated 11 Oct 2021: <ul> <li>Adequacy of 2021 survey response rate questioned</li> <li>Concerned that the right questions might not be being asked and request to see the questions</li> <li>6 people in drop off during the time the counting was done as per the traffic report presentation – is not credible</li> <li>Some of the 2021 survey data undermines confidence in the accuracy of the survey data</li> <li>The traffic counts do not reflect the "Lived experience" of residents</li> <li>Key concerns are around the drop off zones and the way the pickup and drop off process works and parents stopping over driveways which need to be included in the data.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
2	Further issues raised	
	<ul> <li>Issues about the timing of the survey and the content.</li> <li>End of term timing – is that the best – it might be better at the beginning of the term?</li> <li>We need to get a good reflection of what is happening – so the time agreed for the surveys needs to the best representation of what is happening</li> </ul>	
	Need to consider the timing and frequency of counts	<u> </u>

3	Table 5 – response rateThe group would like to see that completing the survey is compulsory – should be at 80% - staff and students For all those reasons the 2021 OTMP is not considered reliable and not being taken seriously enough by the school It is an important document going through to the future and how we are expected this accept thisDiscussion regarding the timing and accuracy of the data collection – not just accuracy but also methodology – the whole approach. Could there be some live monitoring – videos?	
4	<ul> <li>WS comments</li> <li>Results of the survey do have some errors and that is recognised – the traffic consultants Traffix do the reporting and they hire people to do the counts. The school has requested an explanation regarding the Drop-off/pick-up data at site 3 9junior School/Leichhardt Street but there has been no response to date.</li> <li>We acknowledge an anomaly in the Site 3 data on 2021 as compared to other years. Leichardt Lane is clearly an anomaly within the 2021 data</li> <li>We recommend close attention be paid to Site 3 data in the next survey.</li> <li>The 2014 is the benchmark that accompanied the DA – it was prepared jointly between consultants appointed by the school and the Department of Planning – for the benchmark and set up for each year that follows – so it is important for there to be some consistency year on year to enable it to be comparative</li> <li>The school is happy to adjust the survey methodology from time to time if it will generate more accurate data whilst recognising the need to satisfy the DA conditions with respect to the OTMP.</li> <li>The school tenders the traffic consultant work each year and is not wedded to a particular consultant.</li> </ul>	
5	Regarding the timingProposed that the next survey be conducted in the last weekof the Easter vacation period and the first week of term 2 inorder to catch the first week of term activity rather than thelast week of term 1.	

	It was agreed that the CCC should review the surveys and the methodology in detail during the next meetings. WS is going to meet with DC shortly to do a walk around and identify some of the current issues and to discuss some ideas for measurement. One key area of Concern raised by DC is that the current drop off and pick up activity in Bronte Road is not measured but is occurring.	
	WS tabled some general data regarding response rates from six other school survey's as a comparison against the RPAC response rate data from table 5. The CCC questioned whether this comparison was appropriate and would like to see response rates in the order of 80%. WS advised that the secondary student response rate was 82% but more effort needed to be applied to staff and primary survey responses.	
	Another survey could physically be undertaken before the end of the year but given the Yr 12 examinations and return to school of all years after remote learning during the previous months, the data set would not be a comparative representation against previous surveys. The CCC questioned the baseline 2014 OTMP methodology which may lead to some challenges for future data comparisons. The CCC agreed that a productive approach was to review and refine the methodology for the next survey as opposed to undertaking a second survey before the end of 2021.	
	The CCC asked if the OTMP has been submitted to DPIE. WS advised that it had not been submitted but he Full report was due for completion within a few weeks and that it would then be submitted. The CCC asked if the 2021 report could comment on the anomalies and concerns of the CCC as outlined in their letter of 11 Oct 21.	
6	Agreed	
	Agreed: To review the overall approach to OTMP measurements by the CCC before the conduct of survey in 2022	
	<ul> <li>In summary these areas are to be reviewed:</li> <li>Review of the survey questions</li> <li>More effort to be applied to increase participation rate of survey completion</li> <li>Measurements are at the right time – traffic counts – timing during the day/and timing of the year – we need</li> </ul>	

	<ul> <li>than one day a week – i.e. two days a one week</li> <li>Another provider should be explored</li> <li>Include consideration of drop off zones currently being used but not being measured (E.g. Outside the existing garages on Bronte Road) i.e. we need to agree where the drop off zones are and agree if there is a need to measure additional ones</li> <li>Some ideas to considered for increasing the participation rate:</li> <li>Parents are emailed (This is done for primary school students already)</li> <li>Senior school students do it at school (this is the status quo).</li> <li>Staff are emailed as well (This is done already)</li> <li>Consider incentives – or other ways to encourage survey completions</li> </ul> <i>It was agreed:</i> When the traffic reporting is lodged with the Department of Planning it should include a qualifier that includes the concerns	
	raised by the CCC and the school will also provide a commentary which can be viewed in advance by the CCC.	
7	Immediate actions	
	<ul> <li>Distribute survey questions- WS - done</li> <li>Do a walk around - with some members of the CCC - done</li> <li>Discuss how frequently future surveys might be conducted - this discussion occurred in the next regular meeting of the CCC</li> </ul>	